

1 DAVID C. LEE (SBN 193743)  
2 ILSE C. SCOTT (SBN 233433)  
**MICHELMAN & ROBINSON, LLP**  
3 455 Market Street, Suite 1420  
4 San Francisco, California 94105  
Telephone: (415) 882-7770  
Facsimile: (415) 882-1570  
dlee@mrllp.com; iscott@mrllp.com

5 ELEANOR M. LACKMAN (NYBN 4219598), *Pro Hac Vice*  
6 **COWAN, DeBAETS, ABRAHAMS & SHEPPARD LLP**  
7 41 Madison Avenue, 34<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, New York 10010  
8 Telephone: (212) 974-7474  
Facsimile: (212) 874-7474  
elackman@cdas.com

9  
10 Attorneys for Plaintiff CARDS AGAINST HUMANITY, LLC

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

12 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND**

13 CARDS AGAINST HUMANITY, LLC, a  
14 Delaware limited liability company,

15 Plaintiff,

16 vs.

17 LOFTEK TECHNOLOGICAL CO., LLC, a  
California limited liability company;  
18 LOFTKEY TECHNOLOGICAL CO., LLC, a  
California limited liability; KRATONIX, INC,  
19 a California corporation; YANG SHAO, an  
individual; TROY JAMES NOWAK, an  
individual, SHENGKAI CHEN, an individual,  
20 and DOES 1-50, inclusive,

21  
22 Defendants.

Case No. 13-CV-00727-YGR

23  
24 **STIPULATION TO ENTER CONSENT  
JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER**

25 Action Filed: February 9, 2013  
Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers  
Courtroom: 5

26 Plaintiff CARDS AGAINST HUMANITY, LLC ("Plaintiff") and Defendants LOFTEK  
27 TECHNOLOGICAL CO., LLC ("Loftek") and LOFTKEY TECHNOLOGICAL CO., LLC  
("Loftkey"), KRATONIX, INC. ("Kratonix"), SHENGKAI CHEN ("Chen"), and YANG SHAO  
28 ("Shao") (collectively "Defendants") (Plaintiff and Defendants are collectively referred to as the

1 "Parties") hereby stipulate that the Court may enter a Consent Judgment in favor of Plaintiff and  
2 against the Defendants without further notice or appearance of the Parties, as follows:

3 WHEREAS, Plaintiff has alleged that Defendants engaged in the infringement of  
4 Plaintiff's copyright, trade dress and/or trademark rights associated with versions, editions or  
5 expansions of "Cards Against Humanity," specifically, the sale and distribution of counterfeit  
6 products within the United States;

7 WHEREAS, Plaintiff initiated this action (United States District Court, Northern District  
8 of California, *Case No. CV 13-00727 YGR*) (the "Action") alleging such infringements and other  
9 causes of action;

10 WHEREAS, Loftek and Loftkey admit to engaging in the infringing conduct as alleged in  
11 the Action, and further admit to liability for such conduct;

12 WHEREAS, Kratonix, Chen, and Shao do not admit to engaging in the infringing  
13 conduct as alleged in the Action, and admit no liability for such conduct. These Defendants  
14 nonetheless consent to entry of the permanent injunction against them as set forth in the Consent  
15 Judgment and as to the provisions against them as set forth in the Amended Consent Judgment, if  
16 and when it is so entered;

17 WHEREAS, the Parties have entered into a separate Settlement Agreement and Release  
18 (the "Agreement"), dated as of April 1, 2014, settling the dispute giving rise to the Action; and

19 WHEREAS, the Defendants agree that judgment may be entered against Defendants and  
20 stipulate that a Consent Judgment shall be filed and entered against them (and be subject to  
21 amendment, if necessary, as set forth below);

22 **IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED:**

23 1. **CONSENT JUDGMENT:** The Defendants agree to the entry of the Consent  
24 Judgment in the form and under the terms set forth in Exhibit A.

25 2. **DEFAULT/AMENDED CONSENT JUDGMENT:** In the event that Loftek and  
26 Loftkey default on the Agreement such that any of the Payments as set forth in the Consent  
27 Judgment on the scheduled due dates has not been timely remitted to Plaintiff, the Parties  
28 expressly agree that Plaintiff may present to the Court, by *ex parte* application or as otherwise

1 required by the Court, the Amended Consent Judgment (in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B)  
2 for filing and entry. Defendants agree not to oppose entry of the Amended Consent Judgment.  
3 The Parties further agree that upon entry of the Amended Consent Judgment, the Amended  
4 Consent Judgment shall supersede the Consent Judgment in its entirety.

5       3.     **VALID SERVICE/JURISDICTION:** Defendants confirm through this  
6 Stipulation that they have been properly and validly served with the Summons and Complaint in  
7 this action, and are subject to the jurisdiction of the Court.

8       4.     **RETENTION OF JURISDICTION:** The Parties further stipulate and request  
9 that this Court retain jurisdiction of this Action for purposes of construction, modification and  
10 enforcement of the Settlement Agreement and Release, and Consent Judgment and/or Amended  
11 Consent Judgment.

12       5.     **NOTICE:** The Defendants agree that notice of entry of the Consent Judgment  
13 and/or Amended Consent Judgment shall be effective if made through their undersigned counsel.  
14 Defendants further understand and agree that violations of the Consent Judgment and/or  
15 Amended Consent Judgment will expose the parties referenced therein to all penalties provided  
16 by law, including for contempt of Court.

17       6.     **WAIVER OF APPEAL:** Defendants irrevocably and fully waive any and all  
18 rights to appeal the Consent Judgment and/or Amended Consent Judgment, to have it vacated or  
19 set aside, to seek or obtain a new trial thereon, or to otherwise attack its validity or enforceability  
20 in any way, directly or collaterally.

21       7.     **MISCELLANEOUS:**

22           a.     **Counterparts:** This Stipulation for Entry of Consent Judgment  
23 may be executed in counterparts, each of which will be considered an original, but  
24 all of which, when taken together, will constitute one and the same instrument.

25           b.     **Authority to Bind:** The undersigned each represent and warrant  
26 that they are authorized to sign on behalf of, and to bind, respectively, Plaintiff,  
27 and Loftek, Loftkey, Kratonix, Chen and Shao.

1 Date: April 1, 2014

NOVAK DRUCE CONNOLLY BOVE &  
QUIGG LLP

3 *//s// On Lu*

4 By: On Lu  
5 Attorneys for Defendants Loftek  
6 Technological Co., LLC; Loftkey  
7 Technological Co., LLC, Kratonix, Inc.,  
8 Yang Shao, and Shengkai Chen

9 Date: April 1, 2014

10 MICHELMAN & ROBINSON LLP

11 *//s// David Lee*

12 By: David C. Lee  
13 Attorneys for Plaintiff Cards Against  
14 Humanity, LLC

15 Date: April 1, 2014

16 COWAN DEBAETS ABRAHAMS &  
17 SHEPPARD LLP

18 *//s// Eleanor Lackman*

19 By: Eleanor M. Lackman  
20 Attorneys for Plaintiff Cards Against  
21 Humanity, LLC

22 **ORDER**

23 The Court, having read and considered the stipulation of the parties submitted herewith, and  
24 good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a Consent Judgment in the form attached  
25 to the parties' stipulation may be filed pursuant to the terms and conditions of that stipulation  
26 and/or amended in the form of the Amended Consent Judgment also attached to the parties'  
27 stipulation, if warranted. The Court shall retain jurisdiction of this action for purposes of  
28 construction, modification and enforcement of the Settlement Agreement and Release, and  
Consent Judgment and/or Amended Consent Judgment.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers